

INVALID APPLICATION

PA/058841/10 Mr. Wells Land to rear of Ellis Mill, Huddersfield Road Diggle
Erection of single storey industrial unit

Recommendation: APPROVAL

HH/059241/11 c/o Agent 7 Brookhill Close, Diggle
Three storey front extension

Recommendation: APPROVAL

PA/059252/11 Wilmott Dixon Construction Waterhead Academy, Culvert Street, Oldham
Variation on conditions 3,4, and 11 attached to
PA/058867/10

Recommendation: REFUSAL**The site examination and design of the School Safety zone should take place before the work commences.*****Councillor Mr. B. Lord declared an interest***

PA/059262/11 Grotton Investments Ltd The Grotton Hotel, Oldham Road, Grotton
1) Formation of one residential apartment on 1st floor
2) Rear extension
3) Window Alterations

Recommendation: REFUSAL**Whilst the Committee has no problems with the formation of an apartment, the proposals are completing out of character with this unique example of the 1930s style with its elements of art deco. The metal framed windows are particularly important architectural features as is the period front door. The fenestration should be retained as existing in any alterations, as should the metal window frames. Juliet Balconies are completely out of character and the roller shutter front door would have a very negative effect not only on the building but on the street scene as a whole. The railings of the disabled access ramp should be in character with the pre-war building.**

PA/059263/11 Mr. M. Hyncica Higher Broadmeadow Farm, Ox Hey Lane, Denshaw
Proposed holiday let

Recommendation: APPROVAL

HH/059270/11 Mr. & Mrs. Legge 85 Under Lane, Grotton
Side conservatory

Recommendation: APPROVAL

HH/059273/11 Mr. Rea 33 Ravenstones Drive, Diggle
Single storey side extension

Recommendation: APPROVAL
Councillor Mrs. A. McInnes declared an interest

PA/059277/11 Fourway Construction Former Den Lane Garage, Den Lane, Springhead
Erection of children's day nursery (re-submission of PA/57603/10)

Recommendation: REFUSAL **Highways safety concerns due to lack of adequate dropping off / picking up facilities**

HH/059278/11 Mr. Matthews 7 Southgate, Dobcross
Two storey side extension

Recommendation: APPROVAL

HH/059286/11 Mr. & Mrs. Fox 88 Delph Lane, Delph
Single storey rear extension

Recommendation: APPROVAL

MMA/059310/11 Chorlton Planning Land to the North of Den Lane, Uppermill
Minor material amendment relating to PA/058204/10, height of dwellings

Recommendation: REFUSAL **Impact on the local area. The Committee whilst welcoming a change from three storeys to storeys are concerned that such a substantial change was not brought back to the Parish Council for consideration and that the approval did not specify the use of reclaimed materials in this extremely sensitive and exposed setting sited as it is on the hillside with historical terraces on either side.**

HH/059329/11 Miss Julie Derbyshire 224 Oldham Road Lydgate
1) Single storey side extension following demolition of existing garage
2) Single storey rear extension

Recommendation: APPROVAL

HH/059357/11 Mrs. Chrisham 33 Ivygreen Drive, Springhead
1) First floor rear extension
2) Side garage extension
3) Conversion of existing garage to office

Recommendation: APPROVAL

HH/059367/11

Mr. & Mrs. Mason

8 Beechfield Close, Springhead
Single storey gable front conservatory

Recommendation: APPROVAL

PA/059368/11

Mr. P. Newton

Herdslow Farm, Thorpe Lane, Austerlands
Erection of stable building

Recommendation: APPROVAL

HH/059377/11

Mr. Whitehead

Dale Farm Barn, Dale Lane, Delph
Rear Porch

Recommendation: APPROVAL

Subject to the use of matching stone.

PA/059465/11

Mrs. H. Rowland

Greenfield St. Mary's C of E School, Manchester Road
Greenfield.
Erection of mobile storage unit

Recommendation: APPROVAL

**for a period of 12 months. The Clerk will write to the
Chairman of Governors and the Assistant Executive
Director about the use of temporary buildings.**

Peak District Planning Application – Demolition of existing garage and erection of new garage and store
Candy Cottage, Pobjgreen, Uppermill

Recommendation: REFUSAL

**The proposed replacement building is well over twice
the size of the existing garage and as such is contrary to
green belt policy. It also detracts from the setting of the
existing cottage and as it is set further up the hill than
the cottage this has an exacerbating effect. The lack of
lintels or jambs around the doors is also
uncharacteristic of dwellings and out buildings in the
district. Despite these reservations the use of traditional
reclaimed materials is appropriate and welcome.**